WO

DATE: December 17, 2012

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UN	ITED STATES OF AMERICA	ODDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOLAR
	V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	Manuel Ortiz-Ayon	Case Number: <u>12-02229M-001</u>
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on December 17, 2012. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
I find by a prer	ponderance of the evidence that:	INGS OF FACT
		States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
	The defendant, at the time of the charged of	,
	•	• •
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant contacts in	n the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant bu substantial family ties to Mexico.	ut has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in	n court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfo	procement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.
The C at the time of t	ourt incorporates by reference the material find the hearing in this matter, except as noted in t	dings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court he record.
	CONCL	USIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant w	ill flee.
2.	No condition or combination of conditions w	rill reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	DIRECTIONS R	EGARDING DETENTION
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from efendant shall be afforded a reasonable oppor States or on request of an attorney for the Gove	torney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending tunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the n appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS AND	THIRD PARTY RELEASE
		tion order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to trial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.		

United States Magistrate Judge